GEOTECHNICAL UNIT FIELD SCOUR REPORT

PROJECT: 8.271101	ID: <u>B-2905</u>	COUNTY:	ASHE	***************************************	
DESCRIPTION(1):	BRIDGE NO. 113	ON SR 1179 OVER	SOUTH FORK	NEW RIVER	
INFORMATION ON E	XISTING BRIDGES Info	ormation obtained f	from: <u>X</u>	field inspection microfilm(Reel: other	
COUNTY BRIDGE NO.	113 BRIDGE LENGTH	120 NO. BENTS	IN: CHANNEL	3 FLOOD PLAIN	2
FOUNDATION TYPE:	CONCRETE FOOTINGS	3			
EVIDENCE OF SCO	OUR(2):				
ABUTMENTS OR END	BENT SLOPES:	SCOUR BENEATH	& BEHIND WI	NGWALLS @ BOTH E	ND BENTS
INTERIOR BENTS:	NONE NOTED				
CHANNEL BED:	NONE NOTED				
CHANNEL BANKS:	CHANNEL BANK EROS	SION AT EB1-A			. '
EXISTING SCOUR	PROTECTION:				
TYPE(3): CONCRET	E POURED AT SCOUR F	PRONE AREAS @ B	OTH END BEI	NTS & APPROACHES	
EXTENT(4): PATCHY S	SPOT POURS				
EFFECTIVENESS(5):	MINIMALLY EFFECTIV	E: SCOUR IS OCCU	RRING BEHIN	ID PROTECTION	
OBSTRUCTIONS(6) (D	AMS,DEBRIS,ETC.):	DEBRIS LODGES	BENEATH BR	IDGE DECK DURING	FLOODING
DESIGN INFORMA	TION				
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL(7) (SAMPLE RESULTS ATTACHED):			SANDY SIL	T & BOULDERS OVER	
			WEATHERE	ED & HARD ROCK	
CHANNEL BANK MATI	ERIAL(8) (SAMPLE RESU	JLTS ATTACHED):	SANDY SIL	Т	
FOUNDATION BEARIN	NG MATERIAL(9):	HARD ROCK:MICA	A GNEISS		
CHANNEL BANK COV	ER(10):	TREES, BRAMBLE	E, GRASS		
FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH	(11): 800 FEET				
FLOOD PLAIN COVER	R(12): GRASS (PASTUR	RE)			

17/22 PAGE 2

DESIGN INFORMATION CONT.		PAGE 2 '		
STREAM IS X DEGRADING AGG	GRADING (13)			
OTHER OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS:				
CHANNEL MIGRATION TENDENCY (14)TOV	VARD END BENT ONE			
CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION (15):	EB-1: 2820'			
	B-1: 2814-2816'			
	B-2: 2816'			
	B-3: 2817'			
	B-4: 2813-2815'			
	EB-2: 2816'			
REPORTED BY: J.W. MANN		DATE:	03/22/2000	-

INSTRUCTIONS

- (1) GIVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC SITE GIVING ROUTE NUMBER AND BODY OF WATER CROSSED.
- (2) NOTE ANY EVIDENCE OF SCOUR AT THE EXISTING END BENTS OR ABUTMENTS (UNDERMINING,
- (3) NOTE ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION (RIP RAP, ETC.)
- (4) DESCRIBE THE EXTENT OF ANY EXISTING SCOUR PROTECTION.

SLOUGHING, SCOUR LOCATIONS, DEGRADATIONS, ETC.)

- 5) DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCOUR PROTECTION APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
- (6) NOTE ANY DAMS, FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS AT BENTS, ETC.
- 7) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BED MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION,
 ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- (8) DESCRIBE THE CHANNEL BANK MATERIAL: A SAMPLE SHOULD BE TAKEN FOR GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION, ATTACH LAB RESULTS.
- 9) DESCRIBE THE FOUNDATION BEARING MATERIAL,
- 10) DESCRIBE THE BANK COVERING (GRASS, TREES, RIP RAP, NONE, ETC.
- (11) GIVE THE APPROXIMATE FLOOD PLAIN WIDTH (ESTIMATE).
- (13) CHECK THE APPROPRIATE SPACE AS TO WHETHER THE STREAM IS DEGRADING OR AGGRADING
- (14) DESCRIBE THE POTENTIAL OF THE BODY OF WATER TO MIGRATE LATERALLY DURING THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 100 YEARS).
- (15) GIVE THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION EXPECTED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY

 100 YEARS). THIS CAN BE GIVEN AS AN ELEVATION RANGE ACROSS THE SITE, OR ON A BENT BY BENT

 BASIS WHERE VARIATIONS EXIST. DISCUSS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE HYDRAULICS THEORETICAL

 SCOUR AND THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATION. IF THE CRITICAL SCOUR ELEVATIONS DEPENDENT ON

 SCOUR COUNTER MEASURES, EXPLAIN. (RIP RAP ARMORING ON SLOPES, ETC.) THEORETICAL SCOUR

 ELEVATION IS BASED ON THE ERODABILITY OF MATERIALS WITH CONSIDERATION FOR JOINTING,

 FOLIATION, BEDDING ORIENTATION AND FREQUENCY; CORE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE; PERCENTAGE

 RQD; DIFFERENTIAL WEATHERING, SHEAR STRENGTH; OBSERVATIONS AT EXISTING STRUCTURES;

 OTHER TESTS DEEMED APPROPRIATE; AND OVERALL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE SITE.